BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES: An interference is found to exist between the following cases:

This interference involves _____ parties

PARTY		menerence involves	parties	
V. A. I. A.	SERIAL NO.	FILING DATE	PATENT NO., IF ANY	ISSUE DATE, IF ANY
The et al	108185121	7 5-15-97		
If application has been patented, have *Accorded the benefit of:	a maintenance fees been paid	?YesNo	Maintenance fees r	ot due yet
COUNTRY	SERIAL NO.	FILING DATE	PATENT NO., IF ANY	ISSUE DATE, IF ANY
_				ANT ANT
				
		-		
The claim(s) of this party which corres	pond(s) to this count is(are):	:		
PATENTABLE CLAIMS 2()		UNPATENTABLE CLAIMS		
The claim(s) of this party which does(d	lo) not correspond to this are	None		
PATENTABLE CLAIMS	of not correspond to this cot	UNPATENTABLE CLAIMS		
2,4-10,19		None		
PARTY	/ICEDIAL NO			
Leungetal	SERIAL NO.	FILING DATE	PATENT NO., IF ANY	ISSUE DATE: IF ANY
If application has been patented, have	08/842,827			
**Accorded the benefit of:	maintenance fees been paid?	YesNo	Maintenance fees no	et due yet
COUNTRY	SERIAL NO.	FILING DATE	PATENT NO., IF ANY	ISSUE DATE, IF ANY
				,
	 			
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
The alice to the same				#
The claim(s) of this party which corresp PATENTABLE CLAIMS	ond(s) to this count is(are):	UNPATENTABLE CLAIMS		
2.6		5,10-13		The second of th
The claim(s) of this party which does(do) not correspond to this cour	nt is(are):	<u>,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>	The same of the sa
ATENTABLE CLAIMS		UNPATENTABLE CLAIMS		
1,7,140	7-9,15,16	<u> </u>	***************************************	~ <u>~</u>
For every natent involved in t	ha intarforman abaala is	Instructions		€0
. For every patent involved in technology.	ne interference, check if	the fees have been paid b	by using the patent nu	mber with the PALM screen
If fees are due and they have not	been paid the interfere	nce cannot be declared si	noo it would immedia	
(33 OBC 133(a), 37 CFR 1,000).				
For each party, separately idea	ttify the patentable and i	unpatentable claims which	ch correspond to the co	unt
(37 CFR 1.001 (1), 1.001 (11),	1.0U9(D)(2)),			
For each party, separately ider	ıtify the patentable and ı	inpatentable claims whic	h do not correspond to	the count (37 CFR 1.609(b)(3)).
. I of ward all fries including the	ise the deficit of which i	s being accorded		(-)(-)).
. Keep a copy of the Interference				
All informa	tion requested below m	oust be attached on (a) s	separate sheet(s) and	type-written.
On a separate sneet, set forth a	i single proposed interfer	rence count. If any claim	of any party is exactly	y the same word for word
as this count, please indicate the	he party, application or r	patent number, and the cl	laim number	
For each claim designated as o	orresponding to the cou	nt, provide an explanatio	n of why each claim de	efines the same patentable
invention (37 CFR 1.609(b)(2)				
For each claim designated as n	ot corresponding to the	count, provide an explan	ation of why each clair	m defines a separate
patentable invention (37 CFR	1.609(b)(3)).	1.12.2 11		
For each additional count, if a	ly, repeat steps 2-6 and,	additionally, provide an	explanation why each	count represents a
separate patentable invention f	rom every other count (3	37 CFR 1.609(b)(1)).		
5-13-02	AMINER (Signature)	TELEPHONE N		ART UNIT
TE GROUP DIE	EPIDE SIGNATURE Lif require		308-4000	1652
116104 1 M	1100000	الاست		
The serial number and filing date of ear	th application the hearfit of	district in the second		

lication the benefit of which is intended to be accorded must be listed. It is not sufficient to merely list the earliest application if there are intervening applications necessary for continuity.

Application/Control Number: 08/857,217

Art Unit: 1652

Count 2:

A isolated polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 of 08/857,217 and SEQ ID NO:2 of 08/842,827.

This count is identical to Claim 20 of 08/857,217.

Claims corresponding to the count:

Claim 20 corresponds to the count as it is identical to the count.

Claims not corresponding to the count:

Claims 2, 4-10 and 19 do not correspond to the count as they recite nucleic acids or methods of use thereof which are patentably distinct compounds from the proteins of the count.

The proteins of the count and the nucleic acids of Claims 2, 4-10 and 19 are patentably distinct compounds because they are chemically different, the DNA has other utility besides encoding the proteins such as a hybridization probe and the proteins can be made by another method such as isolation from natural sources or chemical synthesis.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 08/842,827

Art Unit: 1652

Count 2:

A isolated polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 of 08/857,217 and SEQ ID NO:2 of 08/842,827.

This count is identical to Claim 20 of 08/857,217.

Claims corresponding to the count:

Claim 2 corresponds to the count as it recites a Markush group of three human phosphatidic acid phosphatases one of which is the polypeptide of the count. Thus the count would anticipate this claim. However, the claim is broader in scope than the count as it also embraces subject matter which would be non-obvious over the polypeptide of the count which was not disclosed by application 08/857,217. The polypeptides of SEQ ID NOS 4 and 8 of 08/842,827 are distinct and non-obvious over the polypeptide of the count as the disclosure of one human phosphatidic acid phosphatase (such as that of the count) in no way suggests to the ordinary skilled artisan that another structurally different human phosphatidic acid phosphatase of a defined specific structure exists.

Claims 5 and 10-13 corresponds to the count as they recite methods of dephosphorylating a substrate using a human

Application/Control Number: 08/842,827

Art Unit: 1652

phosphatidic acid phosphatase which includes the polypeptide of the count.

Kai et al. teach the isolation of porcine PAP, the isolation of and expression of the mouse PAP gene and that PAPs are important enzymes in glycerolipid biosynthesis as well as signal transduction pathways. Therefore, as the polypeptide of the count is a human PAP, it would have been prima facie obvious to recombinantly produce the polypeptide of the count and to use this enzyme for the dephosphorylation of phosphatidic acid and the regulation of signal transduction. However, these claims are not patentable because the scope of the human phosphatidic acid phosphatases which may be used is not limited to the polypeptide of the count (i.e., a specific human phosphatidic acid phosphatase) but include the use of human phosphatidic acid phosphatases suggested by the prior art such as that encoded by the human gene suggested by GENBANK entries AAO40858, WO4968 or H68363.

Each of GENBANK entries W04968, H68363, and AA040858 disclose a fragment of human cDNA which comprises a sequence highly homologous to a portion of the sequence of the mouse PAP gene disclosed by Kai et al. It is well known in the art that each EST corresponds to the production of some protein as ESTs are fragments of cDNAs which are produced by reverse

Application/Control Number: 08/842,827 Page 10

Art Unit: 1652

expressed proteins have corresponding mRNAs in a cell and thus each EST corresponds to an expressed protein. While a EST encodes only a portion of the cDNA encoding a particular protein, each EST clearly provides a suggestion that the cell from which the EST was reverse transcribed expressed a corresponding protein. The high homology of the cited ESTs to the mouse PAP gene disclosed by Kai et al. clearly suggests that the protein to which each of these ESTs correspond is the human homolog of the protein of Kai et al. As such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that there is a human homolog of the PAP of Kai et al. which is highly homologous to the mouse and porcine proteins.

Therefore, as Kai et al. teach that type 2 PAPs such as that encoded by the disclosed gene play a role in the regulation of signal transduction by phospholipase D, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to isolate the gene encoding the human homolog of the porcine and mouse PAPs disclosed by Kai et al., to recombinantly express this gene to produce the human PAP and to use this enzyme for the dephosphorylation of phosphatidic acid and the regulation of signal transduction.

Application/Control Number: 08/842,827

Art Unit: 1652

Claim 13 is further unpatentable in view of Kai et al. and any one of GENBANK entries AA040858, W04968 or H68363 as discussed above, and further in view of Brindley et al.

Kai et al., AA040858, W04968 and H68363 are discussed above.

Brindley et al. teach that mammalian type 2 PAPs dephosphorylate phosphatidic acid, lysophosphatidic acid, sphingosine-1-phosphate and ceramide-1-phosphate to generate products important in signal transduction pathways.

Therefore, as Kai et al. and Brindley teach that type 2 PAPs such as that encoded by the disclosed gene play a role in the regulation of signal transduction by phospholipase D and other proteins, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to isolate the human homolog of the porcine and mouse PAPs disclosed and to use this enzyme for the dephosphorylation of lysophosphatidic acid, sphingosine-1-phosphate and ceramide-1-phosphate and the regulation of signal transduction.

Claim 6 corresponds to the count as it recites a method of dephosphorylating a substrate using the human phosphatidic acid phosphatase of the count.

Kai et al. teach the isolation of porcine PAP, the isolation of and expression of the mouse PAP gene and that PAPs are important enzymes in glycerolipid biosynthesis as well as signal transduction pathways. Therefore, as the polypeptide of the

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 08/842,827

Art Unit: 1652

count is a human PAP, it would have been prima facie obvious to recombinantly produce the polypeptide of the count and to use this enzyme for the dephosphorylation of phosphatidic acid and the regulation of signal transduction.

Claims not corresponding to the count:

Claims 1, 3, 4, and 14 do not correspond to the count as they recite nucleic acids or methods of use thereof which are patentably distinct compounds from the proteins of the count.

The proteins of the count and the nucleic acids of Claims 1, 3, 4 and 14 are patentably distinct compounds because they are chemically different, the DNA has other utility besides encoding the proteins such as a hybridization probe and the proteins can be made by another method such as isolation from natural sources or chemical synthesis.

Claims 7-9 do not correspond to the count as they recite methods of use of human phosphatidic acid phosphatases different in structure from the human PAP of the count. The disclosure of one human phosphatidic acid phosphatase (such as that of the count) in no way suggests to the ordinary skilled artisan that another structurally different human phosphatidic acid phosphatase of a defined specific structure exists.

Application/Control Number: 08/842,827 Page 13

Art Unit: 1652

Claims 15 and 16 do not correspond to the count as they recite nucleic acids encoding human phosphatidic acid phosphatases or methods of use thereof which encode structurally distinct human phosphatidic acid phosphatases from the human phosphatidic acid phosphatase of the count. The disclosure of one human phosphatidic acid phosphatase (such as that of the count) in no way suggests to the ordinary skilled artisan that a gene encoding another structurally different human phosphatidic acid phosphatase of a defined specific structure exists.

700 131 -3 AN IO: 30